

AND REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO for 1491 Account, Vague 2213-1450 were usple gor

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/728,590	12/01/2000	Joey K. Underwood	SCF-46	4493	
7	590 08-13/2003				
Timothy A. Cassidy			EXAMINER		
Dority & Manning. P.A. One Liberty Square			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA		
55 Beattie Plac Greenville, SC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/728	,590	UNDERWOOD ET	AL.	
· Office Action Summary		Examir			Unit	
		Ula C R	Ruddock	1771		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress	
A SHOTHE I Externafter If the If NO Failu Any f	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I AALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUN bissor of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- peted for reply superiod above, it is resimised period for reply is specified above, it is resimised. period for reply is specified above, the mailing of the period for reply is specified above, the resimina- tion of the period of the period of the period of the period for reply is specified by the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely XTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED 036 U.S.C. \$ 133).	mmunication	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>18 June 200</u>	<u>13</u> .			
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	is non-final.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				e merits is	
4)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	ie Examiner.				
10) 🔲 -	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any of	jection to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction file	⊌d on is: a)□	approved b)	disapproved by the Examine	er.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are re		Office action.			
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected t	by the Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have be	een received.			
	Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in A	Application No		
* S	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter ee the attached detailed Office action 	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim				application).	
a	☐ The translation of the foreign la	nguage provisional	application has b	een received.		
Attachmen						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) R			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTC		

Application/Control Number: 09/728,590

Art Unit: 1771

THE REPORT OF THE PERSON OF THE PARTY OF THE

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
 Applicant's submission filed on June 18, 2003, has been entered.
- The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying response.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- Claims 1-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stahle et al. (US 5,187,005) in view of Hargis et al. (US 5,674,951), as shown in Paper #5. Rejection is maintained.
- Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stahle et al. (US 5,187,005) and Hargis et al. (US 5,674,951), as applied to claims 1-17 above, and further in view of Chakravarti et al. (US 5,116,682), as shown in Paper #5. Rejection is maintained.
- Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stahle et al. (US 5,187,005) and Hargis et al. (US 5,674,951), as applied to claims 1-17 and 19 above, and further in view of Tieniber (US 3,650,880), as shown in Paper #5. Rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/728,590

Art Unit: 1771

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 18, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that the rejection of Stahle et al. and Hargis et al. are now overcome because the claims now read on a luggage "housing containing only a single fabric layer." This argument is not persuasive because as shown in MPEP 2111.03, the transitional term "containing" is inclusive and open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements. As a result, the claims do not preclude the use of additional layers as set forth in Stahle et al. It is suggested that Applicant amend the claims to read on "consisting of" language in order to overcome the Stahle et al. reference. Applicant also argues the combination of the Stahle et al. and Hargis et al. reference. This argument is not persuasive because Hargis et al. discloses a fluorinated coating that is used on luggage. Therefore, the combination would inherently have the same properties as claimed in the present invention, because the same components are used, e.g., fabric made of multifilament nylon and a fluoropolymer coating.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C Ruddock whose telephone number is 703-305-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

UCR 'NU August 8, 2003

Ma Luddock